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## Executive Summary

## External Competitiveness Takeaway

Overall, job titles at Enfield are compensated 2.1\% below market. Compensation is lagging the market across several departments, especially at Library and Recreation, as shown below.

| Department | Market Position |
| :--- | :---: |
| Administration | $4.0 \%$ below market |
| Finance | $3.2 \%$ below market |
| Land Use | $4.7 \%$ below market |
| Library | $11.4 \%$ below market |
| Police | $0.1 \%$ below market |
| Public Works | $2.3 \%$ above market |
| Recreation | $22.5 \%$ below market |
| Town Clerk | $6.4 \%$ below market |
|  |  |
| Overall | $\mathbf{2 . 1 \%}$ below market |

Recommendations for holistic compensation adjustments for all job titles in the Town are delineated in the report. No wage reductions are recommended.

## Process

Paypoint HR is pleased to present this comprehensive Pay and Classification Study to the Town of Enfield, New Hampshire. The study began with an initial kick-off meeting with the Town Manager, Assistant Town Manager, and the Accounting \& Payroll Clerk on May 25, 2023. The Final Report was completed for presentation to the Town in Fall 2023.

The point of the Executive Summary is to give an overview of the most important issues and opportunities identified by the consulting team during the study. The reader is highly encouraged to read the document in its entirety to gain an understanding of the recommendations within the report. The study takes into consideration both short and long-term concerns. The intent of the study was to provide the leadership team and Administration with a process for ascertaining equitable value of positions on a competitive salary scale. The study compared existing pay to compensation scales of organizations identified to be valid comparators to the Town. This report provides a review and update of the classification and compensation plan for the Town's employees. Paypoint HR has identified opportunities, but it is up to the Town's leadership to determine which are most appropriate and the timing of implementation.

In considering the options for implementation, it is critical to understand the costs and benefits related to each option. By utilizing market data and analysis it is possible to make informed decisions regarding possible changes. However, in addition to the quantitative economic cost and benefit, it is important to consider the social/cultural impact of implementation and management. Enfield will need to consider all components in making final decisions.

The study was divided into two parts: a classification phase and a compensation phase. The classification phase included identification, review, and analysis of specific work being performed in various positions. That data was then used to simplify positions and match them to the external market in an "apples to apples" comparison. The compensation phase consisted of an initial baseline analysis and an external market survey of local public organizations to determine what the local labor market pays for specific jobs.

The study included approximately 41 employees within roughly 38 distinct classifications. The study recommendations indicate what actions should be taken to avoid the loss of qualified staff and address difficulties in recruiting new employees for the Town. In addition, it was expected that the study would recommend adjustments to the Town's salary placement procedures, policies, and salary structure, to allow appropriate ongoing compensation administration.

Comprehensive surveys like this establish a credible pay structure that is fair for the work completed and strategically positions Enfield competitively in the labor market. The desired result is the improved ability to attract and retain quality staff that perform at high levels to meet the growing demands of the community.

## Major Milestones for the Project

The study began with an initial kick-off meeting with the Town Manager, Assistant Town Manager, and the Accounting \& Payroll Clerk on May 25, 2023.

Employee Briefing Sessions were held at the Town on June $1^{\text {st }}$ and June $5^{\text {th }}$ with groups of employees from all departments to discuss the project, their roles, and to review the job analysis questionnaire.

Paypoint HR conducted a job evaluation for an internal review of job family classifications based on the responses to the Position Vantage Point (PVP) job analysis questionnaires.

An analysis of the existing pay scale was completed.
External Market Comparators were vetted using economic and demographic data to determine which comparators were most like the Town to ensure validity.

Internal positions were reviewed, and benchmark positions were selected for inclusion in the external survey.

An external market survey was sent out to a total of 50 comparator organizations and responses from 30 participants were collected. Typical surveys of this type yield a 5-10\% response rate.

## Survey Respondents

| Amherst | Auburn | Barrington | Bedford |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belmont | Brookline | Chester | Claremont |
| Durham | Franklin | Gilford | Hampstead |
| Hanover | Henniker | Hillsborough | Hollis |
| Hooksett | Hudson | Keene | Laconia |
| Lebanon | Litchfield | Meredith | Merrimack |
| Milford | Pelham | Pembroke | Sandown |
| Somersworth | Wolfeboro |  |  |

## Recommendations

The fiscal impact of the recommendations listed below for all employees are approximate costs for salary adjustments. The fiscal impact does not factor in associated costs for employee-related benefits.

1. Raise the salary of 9 positions that are substantially below market, first, at a cost of \$81,623.

- 1 Administrative Assistant $(\$ 10,148)$,
- 1 Assistant Town Manager $(\$ 13,152)$,
- 1 Deputy Tax Collector $(\$ 5,388)$,
- 1 Deputy Town Clerk $(\$ 7,470)$,
- 3 Library Clerks (\$6,478 total),
- 1 Library Director (\$20,721), and
- 1 Recreation Director $(\$ 18,265)$.

2. Raise the salary of 4 positions that are below market, second, at a cost of $\$ 27,391$.

- 1 Chief of Police $(\$ 10,194)$,
- 1 Land Use \& Community Development Administrator $(\$ 7,300)$, and
- 2 Patrol Officers (\$9,897 total).

3. Raise the salary of 27 positions that are below the appropriate step, third, at a cost of $\$ 29,106$.

- 1 Accounting \& Payroll Clerk (\$722),
- 1 Adult Services \& Technology Librarian (\$891),
- 1 Assessing Administrator (\$835),
- 1 Assistant Highway Supervisor - Maintenance ( $\$ 1,940$ ),
- 1 Assistant Highway Supervisor - Projects $(\$ 1,940)$,
- 1 Building Inspector (\$616),
- 1 Building Maintenance Specialist $(\$ 1,270)$,
- 1 Director of Public Works (\$558),
- 1 Driver/Laborer (\$278),
- 1 Grounds Crew Leader (\$431),
- 1 Heavy Equipment Operator $(\$ 1,400)$,
- 1 Highway Supervisor $(\$ 1,965)$,
- 3 Light Equipment Operators (\$1,695 total),
- 2 Mechanics (\$2,503 total),
- 1 Police Assistant (\$635),
- 1 Police Corporal (\$799),
- 1 Police Detective (\$1,792),
- 1 Police Lieutenant $(\$ 2,097)$,
- 1 Public Safety Administrative Coordinator $(\$ 1,184)$,
- 1 Town Clerk $(\$ 1,578)$,
- 1 Town Manager $(\$ 2,036)$,
- 1 Transfer Station Attendant (\$210), and
- 2 Water/Sewer Operators (\$1,732 total).


## Comparators

## Purpose

To determine economically comparable organizations for inclusion in the external market study by comparing economic metrics of Enfield to those of similar communities.

## Methodology

The goal was to understand how each of the sixty (60) identified communities compared with Enfield. The six (6) metrics that were chosen for evaluation were population, unemployment rate, labor force participation rate, median household income, cost of living adjustment, and median housing price. Each metric was assumed to be equally important and were examined individually and in combination.

A statistic was produced for each metric by first taking the absolute value of the difference between the metric for a similar community and the same metric for Enfield, for example, the difference between the population of Enfield and Lebanon. The difference was then divided by the standard deviation to understand how the difference varied for each similar community in relation to the sample population of the sixty (60) communities as a whole.

If any of the metrics had a value in excess of three standard deviations, then the community was considered to not be a good comparator for Enfield - highlighted in red below. Comparators highlighted in green were perceived by the client as a valid comparator.

From a statistical perspective, Chebyshev's Inequality Theorem indicates that $88.8 \%$ of all data values would be within three (3) standard deviations of the mean for a generic distribution. If a normal distribution exists, then values less than three (3) standard deviations account for $99.73 \%$ of the population. The choice of comparison is therefore statistically sound and appropriate.

A summary table of these calculations is presented in the following tables. (Sample calculations are also presented.)

Table 1 - Potential Comparators - Proximity (< 100 mi )

| Alton | Amherst | Atkinson | Auburn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrington | Bedford | Belmont | Bow |
| Brookline | Chester | Claremont | Conway |
| Derry | Dover | Durham | Epping |
| Farmington | Franklin | Gilford | Goffstown |
| Hampstead | Hanover | Haverhill | Henniker |
| Hillsborough | Hollis | Hooksett | Hopkinton |
| Hudson | Jaffrey | Keene | Kingston |
| Laconia | Lebanon | Litchfield | Littleton |
| Londonderry | Loudon | Meredith | Enfield |
| Milford | New Boston | Newport | Nottingham |
| Pelham | Pembroke | Peterborough | Plaistow |
| Plymouth | Raymond | Rindge | Rochester |
| Salem | Sandown | Somersworth | Swanzey |
| Wakefield | Weare | Windham | Wolfeboro |

For reference:

## Population

Enfield - 4,496
New Hampshire - 1,372,175
United States - 332,639,000
Median Housing Price (MHP)
Enfield - 253,900
New Hampshire - \$288,700
United States - \$244,900
Median Household Income (MHI)
Enfield - \$92,271
New Hampshire - \$83,449
United States - \$69,021
Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA)
Enfield - 113
New Hampshire - 116
United States - 100

Annualized Unemployment Rate (U Rate)
Enfield - 0.4\%
New Hampshire - 2.5\%
United States - 3.5\%

Annualized Labor Force Participation Rate (LFP Rate)
Enfield - 66.3\%
New Hampshire - 67.2\%
United States - 63.6\%

Table 2 - Economic Data of the Enfield and Potential Comparators

| Community | Population | MHP | MHI | COLA | U Rate | LFP <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Enfield | 4,496 | $\$ 253,900$ | $\$ 92,271$ | 113 | $0.4 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ |
| Alton |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amherst | 11,712 | $\$ 391,700$ | $\$ 141,424$ | 127 | $1.7 \%$ | $65.6 \%$ |
| Atkinson | 7,086 | $\$ 376,300$ | $\$ 123,257$ | 127 | $3.7 \%$ | $69.0 \%$ |
| Auburn | 5,852 | $\$ 416,700$ | $\$ 127,330$ | 131 | $1.4 \%$ | $67.1 \%$ |
| Barrington | 9,270 | $\$ 294,600$ | $\$ 102,512$ | 118 | $1.2 \%$ | $72.5 \%$ |
| Bedford | 23,157 | $\$ 474,100$ | $\$ 143,119$ | 137 | $1.7 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| Belmont | 7,318 | $\$ 199,800$ | $\$ 68,618$ | 106 | $1.5 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ |
| Bow | 8,227 | $\$ 344,300$ | $\$ 132,774$ | 122 | $1.5 \%$ | $73.9 \%$ |
| Brookline | 5,591 | $\$ 359,900$ | $\$ 150,893$ | 125 | $1.7 \%$ | $79.2 \%$ |
| Chester | 5,198 | $\$ 380,800$ | $\$ 131,635$ | 127 | $1.9 \%$ | $74.9 \%$ |
| Claremont | 12,955 | $\$ 136,600$ | $\$ 46,414$ | 102 | $1.1 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ |
| Conway | 9,870 | $\$ 207,700$ | $\$ 58,371$ | 100 | $5.0 \%$ | $68.7 \%$ |
| Derry | 34,197 | $\$ 291,300$ | $\$ 85,458$ | 116 | $4.6 \%$ | $73.4 \%$ |
| Dover | 32,599 | $\$ 310,500$ | $\$ 82,387$ | 117 | $2.7 \%$ | $73.1 \%$ |
| Durham | 15,410 | $\$ 404,200$ | $\$ 87,396$ | 123 | $2.8 \%$ | $58.2 \%$ |
| Epping | 7,077 | $\$ 338,500$ | $\$ 88,671$ | 122 | $1.6 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ |
| Farmington | 6,748 | $\$ 222,800$ | $\$ 60,271$ | 108 | $2.6 \%$ | $66.5 \%$ |
| Franklin | 8,766 | $\$ 205,300$ | $\$ 61,664$ | 107 | $2.1 \%$ | $64.0 \%$ |
| Gilford | 7,642 | $\$ 305,400$ | $\$ 102,358$ | 117 | $1.5 \%$ | $63.1 \%$ |
| Goffstown | 18,274 | $\$ 277,100$ | $\$ 97,917$ | 115 | $0.7 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ |
| Hampstead | 8,948 | $\$ 372,600$ | $\$ 102,909$ | 123 | $2.2 \%$ | $66.1 \%$ |
| Hanover | 11,612 | $\$ 577,400$ | $\$ 146,198$ | 143 | $2.0 \%$ | $43.8 \%$ |
| Haverhill | 4,605 | $\$ 161,600$ | $\$ 53,762$ | 104 | $0.8 \%$ | $62.3 \%$ |
| Henniker | 5,671 | $\$ 239,800$ | $\$ 79,500$ | 110 | $1.9 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ |
| Hillsborough | 5,962 | $\$ 197,100$ | $\$ 86,895$ | 104 | $0.4 \%$ | $59.5 \%$ |
| Hollis | 8,324 | $\$ 494,600$ | $\$ 144,514$ | 140 | $2.5 \%$ | $67.3 \%$ |
| Hooksett | 14,772 | $\$ 273,700$ | $\$ 88,238$ | 115 | $2.5 \%$ | $70.3 \%$ |
| Hopkinton | 5,941 | $\$ 311,900$ | $\$ 100,665$ | 119 | $3.4 \%$ | $67.5 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Community | Population | MHP | MHI | COLA | U Rate | LFP <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hudson | 25,314 | $\$ 330,200$ | $\$ 112,285$ | 121 | $3.1 \%$ | $75.5 \%$ |
| Jaffrey | 5,345 | $\$ 186,800$ | $\$ 68,222$ | 105 | $3.8 \%$ | $69.3 \%$ |
| Keene | 22,969 | $\$ 193,400$ | $\$ 63,490$ | 107 | $2.1 \%$ | $61.4 \%$ |
| Kingston | 6,177 | $\$ 335,900$ | $\$ 82,017$ | 120 | $3.2 \%$ | $63.2 \%$ |
| Laconia | 16,786 | $\$ 214,800$ | $\$ 63,711$ | 109 | $2.1 \%$ | $64.3 \%$ |
| Lebanon | 14,316 | $\$ 274,400$ | $\$ 80,619$ | 117 | $0.6 \%$ | $69.4 \%$ |
| Litchfield | 8,467 | $\$ 347,600$ | $\$ 122,139$ | 124 | $2.1 \%$ | $67.7 \%$ |
| Littleton | 6,015 | $\$ 192,200$ | $\$ 45,141$ | 94 | $4.2 \%$ | $61.3 \%$ |
| Londonderry | 25,708 | $\$ 366,400$ | $\$ 116,286$ | 125 | $4.0 \%$ | $73.5 \%$ |
| Loudon | 5,601 | $\$ 247,100$ | $\$ 64,556$ | 111 | $1.9 \%$ | $55.9 \%$ |
| Meredith | 6,630 | $\$ 341,900$ | $\$ 61,359$ | 121 | $2.5 \%$ | $53.3 \%$ |
| Enfield | 26,762 | $\$ 331,300$ | $\$ 114,737$ | 122 | $2.5 \%$ | $71.0 \%$ |
| Milford | 16,041 | $\$ 278,200$ | $\$ 82,990$ | 116 | $2.8 \%$ | $72.1 \%$ |
| New Boston | 6,040 | $\$ 335,200$ | $\$ 125,119$ | 120 | $2.0 \%$ | $74.6 \%$ |
| Newport | 6,316 | $\$ 157,900$ | $\$ 65,435$ | 105 | $2.9 \%$ | $65.1 \%$ |
| Nottingham | 5,201 | $\$ 328,200$ | $\$ 95,213$ | 121 | $1.7 \%$ | $67.8 \%$ |
| Pelham | 14,099 | $\$ 387,600$ | $\$ 111,333$ | 127 | $1.7 \%$ | $71.9 \%$ |
| Pembroke | 7,298 | $\$ 259,500$ | $\$ 90,288$ | 113 | $2.0 \%$ | $74.0 \%$ |
| Peterborough | 6,400 | $\$ 271,700$ | $\$ 101,401$ | 111 | $1.2 \%$ | $65.8 \%$ |
| Plaistow | 7,812 | $\$ 329,800$ | $\$ 90,508$ | 121 | $1.9 \%$ | $73.0 \%$ |
| Plymouth | 6,645 | $\$ 210,200$ | $\$ 67,367$ | 108 | $2.5 \%$ | $60.4 \%$ |
| Raymond | 10,670 | $\$ 263,200$ | $\$ 82,521$ | 114 | $1.9 \%$ | $73.7 \%$ |
| Rindge | 6,383 | $\$ 259,100$ | $\$ 84,861$ | 112 | $0.8 \%$ | $57.2 \%$ |
| Rochester | 32,317 | $\$ 208,500$ | $\$ 70,582$ | 108 | $2.5 \%$ | $67.0 \%$ |
| Salem | 30,158 | $\$ 355,400$ | $\$ 91,276$ | 122 | $2.4 \%$ | $71.1 \%$ |
| Sandown | 6,498 | $\$ 337,900$ | $\$ 116,048$ | 123 | $3.2 \%$ | $72.4 \%$ |
| Somersworth | 11,964 | $\$ 226,600$ | $\$ 68,762$ | 110 | $2.4 \%$ | $70.2 \%$ |
| Swanzey | 7,293 | $\$ 200,400$ | $\$ 66,392$ | 109 | $2.1 \%$ | $69.1 \%$ |
| Wakefield | 5,221 | $\$ 246,700$ | $\$ 88,750$ | 104 | $1.8 \%$ | $70.7 \%$ |
| Weare | 9,072 | $\$ 268,400$ | $\$ 101,773$ | 114 | $0.3 \%$ | $73.5 \%$ |
| Windham | 15,587 | $\$ 516,400$ | $\$ 167,641$ | 144 | $2.4 \%$ | $66.7 \%$ |
| Wolfeboro | 6,373 | $\$ 324,200$ | $\$ 66,991$ | 116 | $2.8 \%$ | $52.2 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3 - Statistics of Potential Comparators

| Community | Population | MHP | MHI | COLA | U Rate | LFP <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alton | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 2.31 | 0.91 |
| Amherst | 0.91 | 1.54 | 1.74 | 1.38 | 1.31 | 0.11 |
| Atkinson | 0.33 | 1.36 | 1.09 | 1.38 | 3.32 | 0.41 |
| Auburn | 0.17 | 1.82 | 1.24 | 1.77 | 1.01 | 0.12 |
| Barrington | 0.60 | 0.45 | 0.36 | 0.49 | 0.80 | 0.95 |
| Bedford | 2.36 | 2.46 | 1.80 | 2.36 | 1.31 | 0.06 |
| Belmont | 0.36 | 0.60 | 0.84 | 0.69 | 1.11 | 0.40 |
| Bow | 0.47 | 1.01 | 1.43 | 0.89 | 1.11 | 1.17 |
| Brookline | 0.14 | 1.18 | 2.07 | 1.18 | 1.31 | 1.98 |
| Chester | 0.09 | 1.42 | 1.39 | 1.38 | 1.51 | 1.32 |
| Claremont | 1.07 | 1.31 | 1.62 | 1.08 | 0.70 | 1.58 |
| Conway | 0.68 | 0.52 | 1.20 | 1.28 | 4.63 | 0.37 |
| Derry | 3.76 | 0.42 | 0.24 | 0.30 | 4.22 | 1.09 |
| Dover | 3.56 | 0.63 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 2.31 | 1.04 |
| Durham | 1.38 | 1.68 | 0.17 | 0.98 | 2.41 | 1.24 |
| Epping | 0.33 | 0.94 | 0.13 | 0.89 | 1.21 | 0.34 |
| Farmington | 0.29 | 0.35 | 1.13 | 0.49 | 2.21 | 0.03 |
| Franklin | 0.54 | 0.54 | 1.08 | 0.59 | 1.71 | 0.35 |
| Gilford | 0.40 | 0.57 | 0.36 | 0.39 | 1.11 | 0.49 |
| Goffstown | 1.75 | 0.26 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.31 |
| Hampstead | 0.56 | 1.32 | 0.38 | 0.98 | 1.81 | 0.03 |
| Hanover | 0.90 | 3.61 | 1.91 | 2.95 | 1.61 | 3.45 |
| Haverhill | 0.01 | 1.03 | 1.36 | 0.89 | 0.40 | 0.61 |
| Henniker | 0.15 | 0.16 | 0.45 | 0.30 | 1.51 | 0.00 |
| Hillsborough | 0.19 | 0.63 | 0.19 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 1.04 |
| Hollis | 0.48 | 2.68 | 1.85 | 2.66 | 2.11 | 0.15 |
| Hooksett | 1.30 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 2.11 | 0.61 |
| Hopkinton | 0.18 | 0.65 | 0.30 | 0.59 | 3.02 | 0.18 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Community | Population | MHP | MHI | COLA | U Rate | LFP <br> Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hudson | 2.64 | 0.85 | 0.71 | 0.79 | 2.72 | 1.41 |
| Jaffrey | 0.11 | 0.75 | 0.85 | 0.79 | 3.42 | 0.46 |
| Keene | 2.34 | 0.67 | 1.02 | 0.59 | 1.71 | 0.75 |
| Kingston | 0.21 | 0.91 | 0.36 | 0.69 | 2.82 | 0.48 |
| Laconia | 1.56 | 0.44 | 1.01 | 0.39 | 1.71 | 0.31 |
| Lebanon | 1.24 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.39 | 0.20 | 0.48 |
| Litchfield | 0.50 | 1.04 | 1.06 | 1.08 | 1.71 | 0.21 |
| Littleton | 0.19 | 0.69 | 1.67 | 1.87 | 3.82 | 0.77 |
| Londonderry | 2.69 | 1.25 | 0.85 | 1.18 | 3.62 | 1.11 |
| Loudon | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.98 | 0.20 | 1.51 | 1.60 |
| Meredith | 0.27 | 0.98 | 1.09 | 0.79 | 2.11 | 2.00 |
| Enfield | 2.82 | 0.86 | 0.79 | 0.89 | 2.11 | 0.72 |
| Milford | 1.46 | 0.27 | 0.33 | 0.30 | 2.41 | 0.89 |
| New Boston | 0.20 | 0.91 | 1.16 | 0.69 | 1.61 | 1.27 |
| Newport | 0.23 | 1.07 | 0.95 | 0.79 | 2.51 | 0.18 |
| Nottingham | 0.09 | 0.83 | 0.10 | 0.79 | 1.31 | 0.23 |
| Pelham | 1.22 | 1.49 | 0.67 | 1.38 | 1.31 | 0.86 |
| Pembroke | 0.35 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.61 | 1.18 |
| Peterborough | 0.24 | 0.20 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.80 | 0.08 |
| Plaistow | 0.42 | 0.85 | 0.06 | 0.79 | 1.51 | 1.03 |
| Plymouth | 0.27 | 0.49 | 0.88 | 0.49 | 2.11 | 0.91 |
| Raymond | 0.78 | 0.10 | 0.34 | 0.10 | 1.51 | 1.14 |
| Rindge | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 1.40 |
| Rochester | 3.52 | 0.51 | 0.77 | 0.49 | 2.11 | 0.11 |
| Salem | 3.25 | 1.13 | 0.04 | 0.89 | 2.01 | 0.74 |
| Sandown | 0.25 | 0.94 | 0.84 | 0.98 | 2.82 | 0.94 |
| Somersworth | 0.95 | 0.30 | 0.83 | 0.30 | 2.01 | 0.60 |
| Swanzey | 0.35 | 0.60 | 0.91 | 0.39 | 1.71 | 0.43 |
| Wakefield | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.89 | 1.41 | 0.68 |
| Weare | 0.58 | 0.16 | 0.34 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 1.11 |
| Windham | 1.41 | 2.93 | 2.66 | 3.05 | 2.01 | 0.06 |
| Wolfeboro | 0.24 | 0.78 | 0.89 | 0.30 | 2.41 | 2.16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Additional Comparators

The following list of additional comparators were added by the project team to ensure that all staff are fairly represented in the study.

- Canaan


## Sample Calculation

Sample Calculation for Lebanon
Population Statistic
Maximum Population = 34,197 (Derry)
Minimum Population $=4,605$ (Haverhill)
Enfield Population $=4,496$
Lebanon Population $=14,316$

Sample Average = 11,485
Sample Standard Deviation $=7,894$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Statistic }=\frac{\mid \text { Enfield }- \text { Lebanon } \mid}{s} \\
& \text { Statistic }=\frac{|4,496-14,316|}{7,894} \\
& \text { Statistic }=1.24
\end{aligned}
$$

## Benchmark Positions

In Table 4 through Table 11, the benchmark positions, highlighted in green, used in the external survey are presented. Positions that were analyzed but not included in the external survey are unhighlighted.

Table 4 - Benchmark Positions - Administration

## Job Title

Assistant Town Manager
Health Officer

## Job Title

Human Services Administrator
Town Manager

Table 5 - Benchmark Positions - Finance

## Job Title

Accounting \& Payroll Clerk Assessing Administrator

Job Title
Tax Collector

Table 6 - Benchmark Positions - Land Use

## Job Title

Building Inspector / Health Officer

## Job Title

Land Use \& Community Development Administrator

Table 7 - Benchmark Positions - Library

## Job Title

Adult Services \& Technology Librarian Library Clerk

Job Title
Library Director

Table 8 - Benchmark Positions - Police

## Job Title

Chief of Police
Patrol Officer
Police Assistant
Police Corporal

## Job Title

Police Detective
Police Lieutenant
Police Sergeant
Public Safety Administrative Coordinator

Table 9 - Benchmark Positions - Public Works

## Job Title

Administrative Assistant
Assistant Highway Supervisor -
Maintenance
Assistant Highway Supervisor - Projects
Building Maintenance Specialist
Director of Public Works
Driver/Laborer
Grounds Crew Leader

## Job Title

Heavy Equipment Operator
Highway Supervisor
Janitor / Building Maintenance Specialist
Light Equipment Operator
Mechanic
Transfer Station Attendant
Water/Sewer Operator

Table 10 - Benchmark Positions - Recreation

## Job Title

## Job Title

Recreation Director

Table 11 - Benchmark Positions - Town Clerk

## Job Title

Deputy Tax Collector
Deputy Town Clerk

## Job Title

Town Clerk

## Compensable Factor Score from Position Vantage Point

To assist in determining the internal hierarchy of positions in the Town, employees and supervisor participated in the Position Vantage Point Job Survey. Questions asked in the PVP are divided into four areas: Background, Authority, Skill, and Environment. In these four areas, the following compensable factors were examined:

| Education | Complexity |
| :--- | :--- |
| Certifications | Independence |
| Work Duties | Impact |
| Work Experience | Physical |
| Financial Authority | Working Conditions |
| Supervision | Interaction |

Job descriptions were consulted to update both the minimum education level and minimum experience level required for each position. The responses were then evaluated, producing the Compensable Factor Score (CFS) as shown below. For positions where there was insufficient data from the employee/manager survey, job descriptions were consulted to fill out the survey.

Table 12 - Compensable Factor Score

| Position Classification | CFS <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: |
| Town Manager | 1065 |
| Director of Public Works | 425.4 |
| Chief of Police | 399.0 |
| Assistant Town Manager | 363.7 |
| Police Lieutenant | 175.6 |
| Library Director | 93.9 |
| Highway Supervisor | 90.1 |
| Police Sergeant | 81.0 |
| Town Clerk | 75.6 |
| Land Use \& Community Development | 74.8 |
| Administrator |  |


|  | CFS |
| :--- | :---: |
| Position Classification |  |

## External Market Comparison

A summary of the findings of the external market analysis is presented in Table 13 through Table 17. In Table 18 through Table 25, the external market findings for all position classifications is presented, sorted alphabetically. The minimum, midpoint, and maximum hourly salary for each position classification is presented first. The market average (mean) and the various market quantiles are then presented. Lastly the Compa-Ratio, the ratio of the grade's midpoint divided by the 50th percentile from the external market, which measures the extent of the deviation of the current salary range in comparison to the market median, is presented.

Table 13 - Full-Time Positions Substantially Below Market (Compa-Ratio \% Diff<-10\%)

| Administrative Assistant | Library Clerk |
| :--- | :--- |
| Assistant Town Manager | Library Director |
| Deputy Tax Collector | Recreation Director |
| Deputy Town Clerk |  |

Table 14 - Full-Time Positions Below Market (-10\% < Compa-Ratio \% Diff < -5\%)

Chief of Police
Land Use \& Community Development
Administrator

## Patrol Officer

Table 15 - Full-Time Positions Near Market (-5\% < Compa-Ratio \% Diff < +5\%)

| Accounting \& Payroll Clerk | Light Equipment Operator |
| :--- | :--- |
| Adult Services \& Technology Librarian | Police Assistant |
| Assistant Highway Supervisor - | Police Corporal |
| Maintenance | Police Detective |
| Assistant Highway Supervisor - Projects | Police Lieutenant |
| Building Inspector | Town Clerk |
| Building Maintenance Specialist | Town Manager |
| Director of Public Works | Transfer Station Attendant |
| Grounds Crew Leader |  |

Table 16 - Full-Time Positions Above Market (+5\% < Compa-Ratio \% Diff < +10\%)

## Mechanic

Table 17 - Full-Time Positions Substantially Above Market (Compa-Ratio \% Diff > +10\%)

| Assessing Administrator | Highway Supervisor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Driver/Laborer | Public Safety Administrative Coordinator |
| Heavy Equipment Operator | Water/Sewer Operator |

Heavy Equipment Operator
Water/Sewer Operator

Table 18 - External Market Comparison - Administration

|  | Assistant Town Manager | Health Officer | Human Services Admin | Town Manager |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Scale |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum |  |  |  |  |
| Midpoint | \$49.14 |  |  | \$59.71 |
| Maximum |  |  |  |  |
| Market Percentiles |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% | \$49.14 | \$28.09 | \$21.00 | \$50.21 |
| 25\% | \$49.14 | \$29.02 | \$21.00 | \$51.97 |
| 30\% | \$49.15 | \$29.64 | \$22.48 | \$52.44 |
| 35\% | \$49.18 | \$30.29 | \$22.98 | \$53.08 |
| 40\% | \$52.74 | \$31.13 | \$23.74 | \$54.57 |
| 45\% | \$54.09 | \$31.77 | \$24.69 | \$55.77 |
| 50\% | \$54.84 | \$32.52 | \$26.00 | \$58.37 |
| 55\% | \$55.84 | \$33.39 | \$26.90 | \$59.65 |
| 60\% | \$56.77 | \$34.33 | \$27.83 | \$61.37 |
| 65\% | \$57.07 | \$35.06 | \$28.23 | \$61.93 |
| 70\% | \$60.60 | \$36.02 | \$28.95 | \$63.46 |
| 75\% | \$62.28 | \$37.35 | \$29.71 | \$66.97 |
| 80\% | \$63.61 | \$38.70 | \$30.09 | \$69.14 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | \$55.57 | \$33.29 | \$26.00 | \$59.33 |
| CompaRatio | -10.4\% |  |  | +2.3\% |

Table 19 - External Market Comparison - Finance

|  | Accounting \& Payroll Clerk | Assessing Admin | Deputy Tax Collector | Tax <br> Collector |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Scale |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum |  |  |  |  |
| Midpoint | \$28.00 | \$33.65 | \$18.14 |  |
| Maximum |  |  |  |  |
| Market Percentiles |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% | \$21.87 | \$23.80 | \$19.77 | \$29.71 |
| 25\% | \$23.32 | \$25.38 | \$20.39 | \$30.31 |
| 30\% | \$25.55 | \$26.81 | \$21.07 | \$31.05 |
| 35\% | \$26.59 | \$27.16 | \$21.72 | \$31.38 |
| 40\% | \$26.89 | \$28.15 | \$22.32 | \$31.38 |
| 45\% | \$27.85 | \$29.53 | \$22.76 | \$32.53 |
| 50\% | \$28.00 | \$30.39 | \$22.80 | \$32.53 |
| 55\% | \$28.00 | \$31.58 | \$23.52 | \$33.98 |
| 60\% | \$28.36 | \$32.65 | \$24.17 | \$34.97 |
| 65\% | \$29.21 | \$33.57 | \$24.97 | \$36.27 |
| 70\% | \$29.62 | \$33.65 | \$25.70 | \$38.29 |
| 75\% | \$31.78 | \$34.01 | \$26.22 | \$39.56 |
| 80\% | \$33.31 | \$36.66 | \$27.26 | \$39.84 |
| Mean | \$27.65 | \$30.13 | \$23.50 | \$34.56 |
| CompaRatio | 0.0\% | +10.7\% | -20.4\% |  |

Table 20 - External Market Comparison - Land Use

|  | Building Inspector | Land Use \& Comm Dev Admin |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Scale |  |  |
| Minimum |  |  |
| Midpoint | \$34.48 | \$34.48 |
| Maximum |  |  |
| Market Percentiles |  |  |
| 20\% | \$29.41 | \$32.53 |
| 25\% | \$29.98 | \$33.63 |
| 30\% | \$30.58 | \$34.23 |
| 35\% | \$33.40 | \$34.87 |
| 40\% | \$34.34 | \$35.84 |
| 45\% | \$34.48 | \$36.60 |
| 50\% | \$34.79 | \$37.71 |
| 55\% | \$34.96 | \$38.67 |
| 60\% | \$35.93 | \$39.77 |
| 65\% | \$36.43 | \$40.46 |
| 70\% | \$38.74 | \$41.53 |
| 75\% | \$40.15 | \$43.31 |
| 80\% | \$43.22 | \$44.82 |
| Mean | \$35.05 | \$38.52 |
| CompaRatio | -0.9\% | -8.6\% |

Table 21 - External Market Comparison - Library

|  | Adult Svcs \& Tech Librarian | Library Clerk | Library <br> Director |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Scale |  |  |  |
| Minimum |  | \$16.20 |  |
| Midpoint | \$25.38 | \$16.74 | \$29.93 |
| Maximum |  | \$17.28 |  |
| Market Percentiles |  |  |  |
| 20\% | \$23.32 | \$16.12 | \$33.02 |
| 25\% | \$23.44 | \$16.25 | \$34.73 |
| 30\% | \$24.08 | \$16.69 | \$34.89 |
| 35\% | \$24.74 | \$16.96 | \$36.34 |
| 40\% | \$25.14 | \$17.31 | \$37.95 |
| 45\% | \$25.38 | \$17.62 | \$37.99 |
| 50\% | \$25.38 | \$18.61 | \$39.45 |
| 55\% | \$25.38 | \$19.40 | \$40.62 |
| 60\% | \$27.11 | \$20.02 | \$42.32 |
| 65\% | \$30.78 | \$20.96 | \$42.45 |
| 70\% | \$31.36 | \$21.33 | \$43.21 |
| 75\% | \$31.68 | \$22.42 | \$45.09 |
| 80\% | \$37.77 | \$22.62 | \$45.83 |
| Mean | \$28.96 | \$19.21 | \$40.28 |
| CompaRatio | 0.0\% | -10.0\% | -24.1\% |

Table 22 - External Market Comparison - Police

|  | Chief of Police | Patrol Officer | Police Assistant | Police Corporal | Police Detective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Scale |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum |  | \$26.14 |  |  |  |
| Midpoint | \$49.05 | \$27.32 | \$21.60 | \$34.39 | \$33.19 |
| Maximum |  | \$28.50 |  |  |  |
| Market Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% | \$46.15 | \$26.23 | \$18.74 | \$30.05 | \$28.69 |
| 25\% | \$48.56 | \$27.24 | \$19.32 | \$30.69 | \$30.59 |
| 30\% | \$49.05 | \$27.98 | \$20.02 | \$32.61 | \$31.36 |
| 35\% | \$49.42 | \$28.23 | \$20.67 | \$33.98 | \$31.82 |
| 40\% | \$50.22 | \$29.03 | \$21.23 | \$34.30 | \$32.35 |
| 45\% | \$51.63 | \$29.49 | \$21.64 | \$34.39 | \$33.19 |
| 50\% | \$52.56 | \$29.84 | \$21.60 | \$34.54 | \$33.23 |
| 55\% | \$54.08 | \$30.39 | \$22.30 | \$35.63 | \$34.83 |
| 60\% | \$54.65 | \$31.25 | \$22.91 | \$37.24 | \$35.03 |
| 65\% | \$54.86 | \$31.97 | \$23.72 | \$37.83 | \$35.38 |
| 70\% | \$56.33 | \$32.33 | \$24.43 | \$37.90 | \$35.91 |
| 75\% | \$59.57 | \$32.98 | \$24.85 | \$37.92 | \$36.91 |
| 80\% | \$61.90 | \$33.30 | \$25.85 | \$38.00 | \$37.35 |
| Mean | \$53.65 | \$30.16 | \$22.29 | \$34.33 | \$33.22 |
| CompaRatio | -6.7\% | -8.4\% | 0.0\% | -0.4\% | -0.1\% |


| Police Lieut | Police <br> Sergeant | Pub Safety <br> Admin <br> Coord |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Current Scale

Minimum
Midpoint
Maximum

Market
Percentiles

| $20 \%$ | $\$ 38.33$ | $\$ 34.18$ | $\$ 22.13$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $25 \%$ | $\$ 39.95$ | $\$ 35.42$ | $\$ 22.48$ |
| $30 \%$ | $\$ 40.03$ | $\$ 36.11$ | $\$ 23.03$ |
| $35 \%$ | $\$ 41.73$ | $\$ 37.54$ | $\$ 23.04$ |
| $40 \%$ | $\$ 44.22$ | $\$ 38.15$ | $\$ 23.40$ |
| $45 \%$ | $\$ 44.28$ | $\$ 38.82$ | $\$ 24.29$ |
| $50 \%$ | $\$ 44.35$ | $\$ 39.29$ | $\$ 25.20$ |
| $55 \%$ | $\$ 44.51$ | $\$ 39.75$ | $\$ 26.25$ |
| $60 \%$ | $\$ 46.33$ | $\$ 40.29$ | $\$ 26.91$ |
| $65 \%$ | $\$ 46.48$ | $\$ 40.60$ | $\$ 28.04$ |
| $70 \%$ | $\$ 46.49$ | $\$ 41.15$ | $\$ 28.98$ |
| $75 \%$ | $\$ 46.64$ | $\$ 41.34$ | $\$ 28.98$ |
| $80 \%$ | $\$ 47.31$ | $\$ 41.51$ | $\$ 29.65$ |
| Mean | $\$ 43.82$ | $\$ 38.30$ | $\$ 27.08$ |
| Compa- <br> Ratio | $-0.2 \%$ |  | $15.0 \%$ |

Table 23 - External Market Comparison - Public Works

|  | Admin <br> Assistant | Asst Hwy Supervisor Maint | Asst Hwy Supervisor Projects | Building Maint Specialist | Director Public Works |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Scale |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum |  |  |  |  |  |
| Midpoint | \$21.60 | \$30.32 | \$30.32 | \$21.60 | \$49.12 |
| Maximum |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20\% | \$22.47 | \$26.78 | \$27.25 | \$19.73 | \$45.39 |
| 25\% | \$23.19 | \$27.67 | \$28.15 | \$20.37 | \$48.40 |
| 30\% | \$23.86 | \$28.30 | \$28.79 | \$20.65 | \$49.12 |
| 35\% | \$24.51 | \$28.95 | \$29.43 | \$21.55 | \$49.16 |
| 40\% | \$25.18 | \$29.75 | \$30.25 | \$21.60 | \$49.92 |
| 45\% | \$25.69 | \$30.36 | \$30.87 | \$21.60 | \$50.94 |
| 50\% | \$25.96 | \$31.00 | \$31.54 | \$21.90 | \$51.44 |
| 55\% | \$26.72 | \$31.84 | \$32.40 | \$22.85 | \$53.42 |
| 60\% | \$27.47 | \$32.74 | \$33.32 | \$24.10 | \$54.54 |
| 65\% | \$28.25 | \$33.48 | \$34.05 | \$24.28 | \$56.47 |
| 70\% | \$29.05 | \$34.40 | \$34.98 | \$25.69 | \$58.53 |
| 75\% | \$29.84 | \$35.61 | \$36.24 | \$27.74 | \$60.02 |
| 80\% | \$30.98 | \$36.91 | \$37.56 | \$30.05 | \$62.19 |
| Mean | \$26.68 | \$31.76 | \$32.31 | \$24.20 | \$53.14 |
| CompaRatio | -16.8\% | -2.2\% | -3.9\% | -1.3\% | -4.5\% |


| Current <br> Scale | Driver <br> Laborer | Grounds <br> Crew <br> Leader | Heavy <br> Equipment <br> Operator | Highway <br> Supervisor | Janitor <br> Building <br> Maint Spec |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Midpoint <br> Maximum | $\$ 26.73$ | $\$ 23.81$ | $\$ 28.52$ | $\$ 38.94$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market <br> Percentiles |  |  |  |  |  |
| $20 \%$ | $\$ 20.06$ | $\$ 21.51$ | $\$ 22.14$ | $\$ 30.52$ | $\$ 16.21$ |
| $25 \%$ | $\$ 20.44$ | $\$ 22.44$ | $\$ 22.58$ | $\$ 30.68$ | $\$ 17.36$ |
| $30 \%$ | $\$ 21.32$ | $\$ 23.41$ | $\$ 23.28$ | $\$ 31.23$ | $\$ 18.35$ |
| $35 \%$ | $\$ 21.83$ | $\$ 23.76$ | $\$ 23.83$ | $\$ 32.40$ | $\$ 19.47$ |
| $40 \%$ | $\$ 22.07$ | $\$ 23.81$ | $\$ 24.68$ | $\$ 34.48$ | $\$ 19.83$ |
| $45 \%$ | $\$ 22.84$ | $\$ 23.81$ | $\$ 25.04$ | $\$ 34.72$ | $\$ 20.02$ |
| $50 \%$ | $\$ 23.21$ | $\$ 23.81$ | $\$ 25.40$ | $\$ 34.97$ | $\$ 20.77$ |
| $55 \%$ | $\$ 23.29$ | $\$ 24.32$ | $\$ 26.03$ | $\$ 36.58$ | $\$ 21.08$ |
| $60 \%$ | $\$ 23.40$ | $\$ 24.58$ | $\$ 27.12$ | $\$ 38.71$ | $\$ 21.31$ |
| $65 \%$ | $\$ 24.02$ | $\$ 24.89$ | $\$ 27.90$ | $\$ 38.92$ | $\$ 23.19$ |
| $70 \%$ | $\$ 24.78$ | $\$ 26.62$ | $\$ 28.52$ | $\$ 38.94$ | $\$ 23.58$ |
| $75 \%$ | $\$ 25.55$ | $\$ 27.50$ | $\$ 28.77$ | $\$ 40.12$ | $\$ 23.78$ |
| $80 \%$ | $\$ 26.00$ | $\$ 27.99$ | $\$ 29.22$ | $\$ 41.36$ | $\$ 24.27$ |
| Mean | $\$ 23.10$ | $\$ 24.68$ | $\$ 26.00$ | $\$ 35.59$ | $\$ 20.77$ |
| Compa <br> Ratio | $+15.2 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $+12.3 \%$ | $+11.4 \%$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Light |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Equipment |
| Operator |$\quad$ Mechanic | Transfer | Water |
| :---: | :---: |
| Station | Sewer |
| Attendant | Operator |

## Current Scale

| Minimum | $\$ 21.50$ | $\$ 28.90$ | $\$ 18.14$ | $\$ 28.00$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Midpoint | $\$ 22.97$ | $\$ 29.84$ | $\$ 20.98$ | $\$ 29.20$ |
| Maximum | $\$ 24.43$ | $\$ 30.77$ | $\$ 23.81$ | $\$ 30.40$ |

## Market <br> Percentiles

| $20 \%$ | $\$ 21.08$ | $\$ 23.40$ | $\$ 18.24$ | $\$ 22.72$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $25 \%$ | $\$ 21.31$ | $\$ 23.94$ | $\$ 18.49$ | $\$ 23.00$ |
| $30 \%$ | $\$ 21.42$ | $\$ 24.56$ | $\$ 19.18$ | $\$ 23.07$ |
| $35 \%$ | $\$ 21.73$ | $\$ 24.78$ | $\$ 19.51$ | $\$ 23.48$ |
| $40 \%$ | $\$ 22.36$ | $\$ 26.04$ | $\$ 20.44$ | $\$ 24.72$ |
| $45 \%$ | $\$ 22.82$ | $\$ 27.05$ | $\$ 21.01$ | $\$ 25.38$ |
| $50 \%$ | $\$ 23.24$ | $\$ 27.40$ | $\$ 21.19$ | $\$ 25.83$ |
| $55 \%$ | $\$ 23.50$ | $\$ 28.26$ | $\$ 22.74$ | $\$ 26.47$ |
| $60 \%$ | $\$ 23.65$ | $\$ 28.45$ | $\$ 23.62$ | $\$ 26.75$ |
| $65 \%$ | $\$ 24.10$ | $\$ 28.53$ | $\$ 23.91$ | $\$ 27.11$ |
| $70 \%$ | $\$ 24.39$ | $\$ 29.16$ | $\$ 24.17$ | $\$ 28.26$ |
| $75 \%$ | $\$ 24.53$ | $\$ 30.22$ | $\$ 25.37$ | $\$ 29.12$ |
| 80\% | $\$ 25.30$ | $\$ 30.70$ | $\$ 25.98$ | $\$ 29.91$ |
| Mean | $\$ 23.01$ | $\$ 27.27$ | $\$ 21.81$ | $\$ 26.00$ |
| Compa- <br> Ratio | $-1.2 \%$ | $+8.9 \%$ | $-1.0 \%$ | $+13.0 \%$ |

Table 24 - External Market Comparison - Recreation

| Recreation <br> Director |
| :---: | :---: |

Current
Scale

| Minimum |
| :---: |
| Midpoint |
| Maximum |
| Market |
| Percentiles |


| $20 \%$ | $\$ 29.75$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $25 \%$ | $\$ 30.74$ |
| $30 \%$ | $\$ 31.35$ |
| $35 \%$ | $\$ 32.00$ |
| $40 \%$ | $\$ 32.89$ |
| $45 \%$ | $\$ 33.58$ |
| $50 \%$ | $\$ 34.46$ |
| $55 \%$ | $\$ 35.36$ |
| $60 \%$ | $\$ 36.36$ |
| $65 \%$ | $\$ 37.08$ |
| $70 \%$ | $\$ 38.08$ |
| $75 \%$ | $\$ 39.58$ |
| $80 \%$ | $\$ 40.99$ |
|  |  |
| Mean | $\$ 35.24$ |
| Compa- <br> Ratio | $-22.5 \%$ |

Table 25 - External Market Comparison - Town Clerk

|  | Deputy Town Clerk | Town Clerk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current Scale |  |  |
| Minimum |  |  |
| Midpoint | \$22.12 | \$32.69 |
| Maximum |  |  |
| Market Percentiles |  |  |
| 20\% | \$22.09 | \$28.17 |
| 25\% | \$22.80 | \$28.71 |
| 30\% | \$23.46 | \$29.91 |
| 35\% | \$24.11 | \$31.38 |
| 40\% | \$24.78 | \$31.38 |
| 45\% | \$25.27 | \$32.23 |
| 50\% | \$25.51 | \$32.53 |
| 55\% | \$26.27 | \$32.57 |
| 60\% | \$27.00 | \$32.69 |
| 65\% | \$27.78 | \$32.94 |
| 70\% | \$28.58 | \$34.88 |
| 75\% | \$29.33 | \$36.41 |
| 80\% | \$30.45 | \$37.47 |
| Mean | \$26.23 | \$31.64 |
| CompaRatio | -13.3\% | +0.5\% |

## Proposed Salary Schedules

A regression analysis of the CFS Score and the salary survey results indicate that market median salary for all positions is predicted very well by the CFS Score. The coefficient of determination is $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$, in other words, the knowledge, skills, and abilities identified in the employee/manager Position Vantage Point job description survey correlate very well with the external markets' valuation of the job positions at Enfield. As a result, recommended 12 -step salary scales were constructed and is presented in Table 26.

The spread between the minimum and maximum salary for all employees was set at $40 \%$, consistent with the average market spread of $39.3 \%$. The Ladders, i.e., the distance between grades, was set to be $5.0 \%$. Larger Ladders were included to increase the incentive for employees to seek positions of greater responsibility and to make it financially beneficial.

It is recommended that in subsequent years after the adoption of the recommended pay plan, the Town should adjust the entire salary scale by the CPI annually as the budget permits.

Table 26 - Proposed Salary Schedule

| Grade | Min | Mid | Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E01 | $\$ 15.00$ | $\$ 18.00$ | $\$ 21.00$ |
| E02 | $\$ 15.75$ | $\$ 18.90$ | $\$ 22.05$ |
| E03 | $\$ 16.54$ | $\$ 19.85$ | $\$ 23.15$ |
| E04 | $\$ 17.36$ | $\$ 20.84$ | $\$ 24.31$ |
| E05 | $\$ 18.23$ | $\$ 21.88$ | $\$ 25.53$ |
| E06 | $\$ 19.14$ | $\$ 22.97$ | $\$ 26.80$ |
| E07 | $\$ 20.10$ | $\$ 24.12$ | $\$ 28.14$ |
| E08 | $\$ 21.11$ | $\$ 25.33$ | $\$ 29.55$ |
| E09 | $\$ 22.16$ | $\$ 26.59$ | $\$ 31.03$ |
| E10 | $\$ 23.27$ | $\$ 27.92$ | $\$ 32.58$ |
| E11 | $\$ 24.43$ | $\$ 29.32$ | $\$ 34.21$ |
| E12 | $\$ 25.66$ | $\$ 30.79$ | $\$ 35.92$ |
| E13 | $\$ 26.94$ | $\$ 32.33$ | $\$ 37.71$ |
| E14 | $\$ 28.28$ | $\$ 33.94$ | $\$ 39.60$ |
| E15 | $\$ 29.70$ | $\$ 35.64$ | $\$ 41.58$ |
| E16 | $\$ 31.18$ | $\$ 37.42$ | $\$ 43.66$ |
| E17 | $\$ 32.74$ | $\$ 39.29$ | $\$ 45.84$ |
| E18 | $\$ 34.38$ | $\$ 41.26$ | $\$ 48.13$ |
| E19 | $\$ 36.10$ | $\$ 43.32$ | $\$ 50.54$ |
| E20 | $\$ 37.90$ | $\$ 45.49$ | $\$ 53.07$ |
| E21 | $\$ 39.80$ | $\$ 47.76$ | $\$ 55.72$ |
| E22 | $\$ 41.79$ | $\$ 50.15$ | $\$ 58.51$ |
| E23 | $\$ 43.88$ | $\$ 52.65$ | $\$ 61.43$ |
| E24 | $\$ 46.07$ | $\$ 55.29$ | $\$ 64.50$ |
| E25 | $\$ 48.38$ | $\$ 58.05$ | $\$ 67.73$ |


| Grade | Step 1 <br> Min | Step 2 | Step 3 | Step 4 | Step 5 | Step 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E01 | $\$ 15.00$ | $\$ 15.55$ | $\$ 16.09$ | $\$ 16.64$ | $\$ 17.18$ | $\$ 17.73$ |
| E02 | $\$ 15.75$ | $\$ 16.32$ | $\$ 16.90$ | $\$ 17.47$ | $\$ 18.04$ | $\$ 18.61$ |
| E03 | $\$ 16.54$ | $\$ 17.14$ | $\$ 17.74$ | $\$ 18.34$ | $\$ 18.94$ | $\$ 19.54$ |
| E04 | $\$ 17.36$ | $\$ 18.00$ | $\$ 18.63$ | $\$ 19.26$ | $\$ 19.89$ | $\$ 20.52$ |
| E05 | $\$ 18.23$ | $\$ 18.90$ | $\$ 19.56$ | $\$ 20.22$ | $\$ 20.88$ | $\$ 21.55$ |
| E06 | $\$ 19.14$ | $\$ 19.84$ | $\$ 20.54$ | $\$ 1.23$ | $\$ 21.93$ | $\$ 22.62$ |
| E07 | $\$ 20.10$ | $\$ 20.83$ | $\$ 21.56$ | $\$ 22.29$ | $\$ 23.03$ | $\$ 23.76$ |
| E08 | $\$ 21.11$ | $\$ 21.87$ | $\$ 22.64$ | $\$ 23.41$ | $\$ 24.18$ | $\$ 24.94$ |
| E09 | $\$ 22.16$ | $\$ 22.97$ | $\$ 23.77$ | $\$ 24.58$ | $\$ 25.39$ | $\$ 26.19$ |
| E10 | $\$ 23.27$ | $\$ 24.12$ | $\$ 24.96$ | $\$ 25.81$ | $\$ 26.65$ | $\$ 27.50$ |
| E11 | $\$ 24.43$ | $\$ 25.32$ | $\$ 26.21$ | $\$ 27.10$ | $\$ 27.99$ | $\$ 28.88$ |
| E12 | $\$ 25.66$ | $\$ 26.59$ | $\$ 27.52$ | $\$ 28.45$ | $\$ 29.39$ | $\$ 30.32$ |
| E13 | $\$ 26.94$ | $\$ 27.92$ | $\$ 28.90$ | $\$ 29.88$ | $\$ 30.86$ | $\$ 31.84$ |
| E14 | $\$ 28.28$ | $\$ 29.31$ | $\$ 30.34$ | $\$ 31.37$ | $\$ 32.40$ | $\$ 33.43$ |
| E15 | $\$ 29.70$ | $\$ 30.78$ | $\$ 31.86$ | $\$ 32.94$ | $\$ 34.02$ | $\$ 35.10$ |
| E16 | $\$ 31.18$ | $\$ 32.32$ | $\$ 33.45$ | $\$ 34.59$ | $\$ 35.72$ | $\$ 36.85$ |
| E17 | $\$ 32.74$ | $\$ 33.93$ | $\$ 35.12$ | $\$ 36.32$ | $\$ 37.51$ | $\$ 38.70$ |
| E18 | $\$ 34.38$ | $\$ 35.63$ | $\$ 36.88$ | $\$ 38.13$ | $\$ 39.38$ | $\$ 40.63$ |
| E19 | $\$ 36.10$ | $\$ 37.41$ | $\$ 38.72$ | $\$ 40.04$ | $\$ 41.35$ | $\$ 42.66$ |
| E20 | $\$ 37.90$ | $\$ 39.28$ | $\$ 40.66$ | $\$ 42.04$ | $\$ 43.42$ | $\$ 44.80$ |
| E21 | $\$ 39.80$ | $\$ 41.25$ | $\$ 42.69$ | $\$ 44.14$ | $\$ 45.59$ | $\$ 47.04$ |
| E22 | $\$ 41.79$ | $\$ 43.31$ | $\$ 44.83$ | $\$ 46.35$ | $\$ 47.87$ | $\$ 49.39$ |
| E23 | $\$ 43.88$ | $\$ 45.47$ | $\$ 47.07$ | $\$ 48.67$ | $\$ 50.26$ | $\$ 51.86$ |
| E24 | $\$ 46.07$ | $\$ 47.75$ | $\$ 49.42$ | $\$ 51.10$ | $\$ 52.77$ | $\$ 54.45$ |
| E25 | $\$ 48.38$ | $\$ 50.14$ | $\$ 51.89$ | $\$ 33.65$ | $\$ 55.41$ | $\$ 57.17$ |


| Grade | Step 7 | Step 8 | Step 9 | Step 10 | Step 11 | Step 12 <br> Max |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E01 | $\$ 18.27$ | $\$ 18.82$ | $\$ 19.36$ | $\$ 19.91$ | $\$ 20.45$ | $\$ 21.00$ |
| E02 | $\$ 19.19$ | $\$ 19.76$ | $\$ 20.33$ | $\$ 20.90$ | $\$ 21.48$ | $\$ 22.05$ |
| E03 | $\$ 20.15$ | $\$ 20.75$ | $\$ 21.35$ | $\$ 21.95$ | $\$ 22.55$ | $\$ 23.15$ |
| E04 | $\$ 21.15$ | $\$ 21.78$ | $\$ 22.42$ | $\$ 23.05$ | $\$ 23.68$ | $\$ 24.31$ |
| E05 | $\$ 22.21$ | $\$ 22.87$ | $\$ 23.54$ | $\$ 24.20$ | $\$ 24.86$ | $\$ 25.53$ |
| E06 | $\$ 23.32$ | $\$ 24.02$ | $\$ 24.71$ | $\$ 25.41$ | $\$ 26.11$ | $\$ 26.80$ |
| E07 | $\$ 24.49$ | $\$ 25.22$ | $\$ 25.95$ | $\$ 26.68$ | $\$ 27.41$ | $\$ 28.14$ |
| E08 | $\$ 25.71$ | $\$ 26.48$ | $\$ 27.25$ | $\$ 28.01$ | $\$ 28.78$ | $\$ 29.55$ |
| E09 | $\$ 27.00$ | $\$ 27.80$ | $\$ 28.61$ | $\$ 29.41$ | $\$ 30.22$ | $\$ 31.03$ |
| E10 | $\$ 28.35$ | $\$ 29.19$ | $\$ 30.04$ | $\$ 30.89$ | $\$ 31.73$ | $\$ 32.58$ |
| E11 | $\$ 29.76$ | $\$ 30.65$ | $\$ 31.54$ | $\$ 32.43$ | $\$ 33.32$ | $\$ 34.21$ |
| E12 | $\$ 31.25$ | $\$ 32.19$ | $\$ 33.12$ | $\$ 34.05$ | $\$ 34.98$ | $\$ 35.92$ |
| E13 | $\$ 32.82$ | $\$ 33.79$ | $\$ 34.77$ | $\$ 35.75$ | $\$ 36.73$ | $\$ 37.71$ |
| E14 | $\$ 34.46$ | $\$ 35.48$ | $\$ 36.51$ | $\$ 37.54$ | $\$ 38.57$ | $\$ 39.60$ |
| E15 | $\$ 36.18$ | $\$ 37.26$ | $\$ 38.34$ | $\$ 39.42$ | $\$ 40.50$ | $\$ 41.58$ |
| E16 | $\$ 37.99$ | $\$ 39.12$ | $\$ 40.26$ | $\$ 41.39$ | $\$ 42.52$ | $\$ 43.66$ |
| E17 | $\$ 39.89$ | $\$ 41.08$ | $\$ 42.27$ | $\$ 43.46$ | $\$ 44.65$ | $\$ 45.84$ |
| E18 | $\$ 41.88$ | $\$ 43.13$ | $\$ 44.38$ | $\$ 45.63$ | $\$ 46.88$ | $\$ 48.13$ |
| E19 | $\$ 43.98$ | $\$ 45.29$ | $\$ 46.60$ | $\$ 47.91$ | $\$ 49.23$ | $\$ 50.54$ |
| E20 | $\$ 46.17$ | $\$ 47.55$ | $\$ 48.93$ | $\$ 50.31$ | $\$ 51.69$ | $\$ 53.07$ |
| E21 | $\$ 48.48$ | $\$ 49.93$ | $\$ 51.38$ | $\$ 52.82$ | $\$ 54.27$ | $\$ 55.72$ |
| E22 | $\$ 50.91$ | $\$ 52.43$ | $\$ 53.95$ | $\$ 55.47$ | $\$ 56.99$ | $\$ 58.51$ |
| E23 | $\$ 53.45$ | $\$ 55.05$ | $\$ 56.64$ | $\$ 58.24$ | $\$ 59.83$ | $\$ 61.43$ |
| E24 | $\$ 56.13$ | $\$ 57.80$ | $\$ 59.48$ | $\$ 61.15$ | $\$ 62.83$ | $\$ 64.50$ |
| E25 | $\$ 58.93$ | $\$ 60.69$ | $\$ 62.45$ | $\$ 64.21$ | $\$ 65.97$ | $\$ 67.73$ |

## Proposed Internal Equity

In Table 27 through Table 35, the resulting proposed internal equity for the Town is presented.

Table 27 - Proposed Internal Equity

| Grade | Title |
| :---: | :---: |
| E25 | Town Manager |
| E24 |  |
| E23 |  |
| E22 | Assistant Town Manager Chief of Police Director of Public Works |
| E21 |  |
| E20 |  |
| E19 | Police Lieutenant |
| E18 |  |
| E17 | Highway Supervisor Library Director |
| E16 | Land Use \& Community Development Administrator Police Sergeant Town Clerk |
| E15 |  |
| E14 | Recreation Director |
| E13 | Building Inspector <br> Health Officer <br> Police Corporal <br> Tax Collector |
| E12 | Assessing Administrator <br> Assistant Highway Supervisor - Maintenance <br> Assistant Highway Supervisor - Projects <br> Police Detective |
| E11 | Mechanic |
| E10 | Accounting \& Payroll Clerk <br> Adult Services \& Technology Librarian <br> Heavy Equipment Operator <br> Patrol Officer <br> Water/Sewer Operator |


| Grade | Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| E09 | Driver/Laborer <br> E08Administrative Assistant <br> Deputy Town Clerk <br> Public Safety Administrative Coordinator |
| E07 | Human Services Administrator <br> Light Equipment Operator |
| E06 | Deputy Tax Collector <br> Grounds Crew Leader |
| E05 | Building Maintenance Specialist <br> Police Assistant |
| E04 | Janitor / Building Maintenance Specialist |
| E03 | Transfer Station Attendant |
| E02 | Library Clerk |
| E01 |  |

Table 28 - Proposed Internal Equity - Administration

| Grade | Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| E25 | Town Manager |
| E22 | Assistant Town Manager |
| E13 | Health Officer |
| E07 | Human Services Administrator |

Table 29 - Proposed Internal Equity - Finance

| Grade | Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| E13 | Tax Collector |
| E12 | Assessing Administrator |
| E10 | Accounting \& Payroll Clerk |
| E06 | Deputy Tax Collector |

Table 30 - Proposed Internal Equity - Land Use

| Grade | Title |
| :--- | :--- |
| E16 | Land Use \& Community Development Administrator |
| E13 | Building Inspector |

Table 31 - Proposed Internal Equity - Library

| Grade | Title |
| :--- | :--- |
| E17 | Library Director |
| E10 | Adult Services \& Technology Librarian |
| E01 | Library Clerk |

Table 32 - Proposed Internal Equity - Police

| Grade | Title |
| :--- | :--- |
| E22 | Chief of Police |
| E19 | Police Lieutenant |
| E16 | Police Sergeant |
| E13 | Police Corporal |
| E12 | Police Detective |
| E10 | Patrol Officer |
| E08 | Public Safety Administrative Coordinator |
| E05 | Police Assistant |

Table 33 - Proposed Internal Equity - Public Works

| Grade | Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| E22 | Director of Public Works |
| E17 | Highway Supervisor |
| E12 | Assistant Highway Supervisor - Maintenance <br> Assistant Highway Supervisor - Projects |
| E11 | Mechanic |
| E10 | Heavy Equipment Operator <br> Water/Sewer Operator |
| E09 | Driver/Laborer |
| E08 | Administrative Assistant |
| E07 | Light Equipment Operator |
| E6 | Grounds Crew Leader |
| E05 | Building Maintenance Specialist |
| E04 | Janitor / Building Maintenance Specialist |
| E03 | Transfer Station Attendant |

Table 34 - Proposed Internal Equity - Recreation

| Grade | Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| E14 | Recreation Director |

Table 35 - Proposed Internal Equity - Town Clerk

| Grade | Title |
| :---: | :--- |
| E16 | Town Clerk |
| E08 | Deputy Town Clerk |

